POR FEDE TECHNI	ARINGHOUSE RAL SCIENTIFIC AND CALINFORMATION
Bardoopy	Mioro/tella
32,00	: 150 .28 mas
	ANT COR
31977	-0-1

Coordinated Science Laboratory



UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS - URBANA, ILLINOIS

WEIGHT DISTRIBUTION FORMULA FOR SOME CLASS OF CYCLIC CODES

Tadao Kasami

REPORT R-285

APRIL, 1966

This work was supported in part by the Joint Services Electronics Program (U. S. Army, U. S. Navy, and U. S. Air Force) under Contract No. DA 28 043 AMC 00073(E), and in part by the National Science Foundation under Grant NSF GK-690, and in part by the Air Force Cambridge Research Laboratories under Contract AF 19(628)4379.

1

Reproduction in whole or in part is permitted for any purpose of the United States Government.

Distribution of this report is unlimited. Qualified requesters may obtain copies of this report from $\ensuremath{\text{DDC}}$.

WEIGHT DISTRIBUTION FORMULA FOR SOME CLASS OF CYCLIC CODES

Tadao Kasami

Abstract

Let $h_1(X)$ and $h_2(X)$ be different irreducible polynomials such that $h_1(\alpha^{-2^h-1})=0$ for some h(0 < h < m) and $h_2(\alpha^{-1})=0$, α being a primitive element of $GF(2^m)$. This paper presents the weight distribution formula of the code of length 2^m-1 generated by $(X^{2^m-1}-1)/(h_1(X)h_2(X))$ for any m and h. Some applications to the cross-correlation problem between two different maximum length sequences are presented.

1. Introduction

W. W. Peterson [1] calculated a number of weight distributions for BCH codes of lengths 63 to 1023 and their dual codes by digital computation. He observed that some BCH codes with large t for a given m ($5 \le m \le 10$) have a very simple structure of weight distribution. The result presented here is a theoretical development of his observation.

Let C be a cyclic code of length 2^m-1 . The extended code of C is the code with an overall parity check added to C as the first digit. The first symbol in a code vector is numbered 0, and for i > 1 the i-th digit is numbered α^{i-2} , α being a primitive element of $GF(2^m)$. Now for $a \ne 0$ and $b \in GF(2^m)$ and for a code vector v of the extended code, permute the symbol in position X to position aX + b. Then, the resulting vector is denoted by $\pi_{ab}v$. W. W. Peterson [1] proved that the extended codes of BCH codes are invariant under doubly transitive group of permutations $\pi = \{\pi_{ab} \mid a \ne 0\}$, $b \in GF(2^m)$. This paper presents the weight distribution formula for a class of cyclic codes of length 2^m-1 whose extended codes are invariant under π .

Let $\mathbf{g_1}(\mathbf{X})$ and $\mathbf{g_2}(\mathbf{X})$ be different irreducible polynomials such that

(1)
$$g_1(\alpha^{2^{h}+1}) = 0$$
 for some h $(0 < h < m)$,

$$(2) g_2(\alpha) = 0$$

The degree of $g_1(X)$ is a factor m' of m and the degree of $g_2(X)$ is m. Let

$$h_1(X) = X^{m^t} g_1(X^{-1}), h_2(X) = X^m g_2(X^{-1}).$$

Let C_0 , C and C' denote binary cyclic codes with length 2^m-1 generated by $g_1(X)g_2(X)$, $(X^{2^m-1}-1)/(h_1(X)h_2(X))$ and $(X^{2^m-1}-1)/[(X-1)h_1(X)h_2(X)]$ respectively. Then C is the dual code of C_0 and a subcode of C'. If h=1, then C_0 is a double error correcting BCH code, and if m is odd and h=(m-1)/2, then C is

a BCH code with the second largest t for given m.

In what follows, the weight distribution formula of code C for any m and h will be derived. This problem is closely related to the cross-correlation problem between two different maximum length sequences.* Some applications to the problem will be presented in section 6.

2. Preliminary Lemmas

Lemma 1: The extended code of C' or C is invariant under π .

This lemma follows from the definition of C' or C_o and a general theorem [2]. Let

$$m = m'm'' . (1)$$

Since $\alpha^{2^{m-h}+1}$ is a root of $g_1(X)$, it can be assumed that

$$2h \leq m. \tag{2}$$

Since $(2^{m^i}-1)(2^h+1)$ is divisible by $(2^m-1) = (2^{m^i}-1)(2^{m^i(m^{ii}-1)} + 2^{m^i(m^{ii}-2)} + \ldots + 1)$,

$$h > m^{t} (m^{t}-1)$$
.

From (1) and (2),

$$m'm'' \ge 2h \ge 2m'(m''-1)$$
 . (3)

Hence,

$$m^{ti} = 1$$
 or 2.

If m'' = 2, then it follows from (3) that

$$m' = h$$
.

That is, there are only two cases:

and

$$m' = m/2 = h$$
.

^{*} Dr. B. Elspas pointed out this relation.

The following well-known lemmas will be used later.

Lemma 2: Let $u(\ell)$ denote the smallest positive integer u such that $2^u \equiv 1 \pmod{\ell}$. Then, $2^{u^*} \equiv 1 \pmod{\ell}$ if and only if $u^* \equiv 0 \pmod{u(\ell)}$. Let (ℓ, ℓ^*) denote the greatest common divisor of ℓ and ℓ^* .

Corollary 3: Let $u = (u_1, u_2)$. Then,

$$2^{u}-1 = (2^{u}1-1, 2^{u}2-1)$$
.

Lemma 4: $2^{u}+1$ (or $2^{u}-1$) is divisible by $2^{u}+1$, if and only if u is divisible by u^{t} and u/u^{t} is odd (or even).

Let $c^2 = (m,h)$, c = (m.2h) and $v = (2^m-1,2^h+1)$. By Corollary 3,

$$2^{c'}-1 = (2^{m}-1, 2^{h}-1), (4)$$

$$2^{c}-1 = (2^{m}-1, 2^{2h}-1).$$
 (5)

Since $(2^{h+1}, 2^{h-1}) = 1$,

$$(2^{m-1}, 2^{2h}-1) = (2^{m-1}, 2^{h}+1)(2^{m}-1, 2^{h}-1).$$

Thus,

$$(2^{c}-1)/v = 2^{c}-1.$$

By definition, c = c' or 2c'. Therefore, we have:

Lemma 5: If $c = c^{\dagger}$, then v = 1. Otherwise,

$$v = 2^{c'} + 1 = 2^{c/2} + 1.$$
 (6)

The next lemma is due to Pless (37.

Lemma 6: Let a_j and b_j denote the number of code vectors of weight j in a code A and the number of code vectors of weight j in the dual code of A respectively. If $b_1 = b_2 = 0$, then the following power moment identities hold:

$$\Sigma a_{j} = 2^{k}$$

$$\Sigma j a_{j} = 2^{k-1}n$$

$$\Sigma j^{2}a_{j} = 2^{k-2}n(n+1)$$

$$\Sigma j^{3}a_{j} = 2^{k-3}(n^{3}+3n^{2}) - 3!2^{k-3}b_{3}$$

$$\Sigma j^{4}a_{j} = 2^{k-4}(n^{4}+6n^{3}+3n^{2}-2n) - 4!2^{k-4}nb_{3} + 4!2^{k-4}b_{4},$$

where k denotes the number of information digits.

Let C_1 and C_2 denote binary cyclic codes with length 2^{m-1} generated by $(x^{2^{m-1}-1})/h_1(X)$ and $(x^{2^{m-1}-1})/h_2(X)$ respectively. Codes C_1 and C_2 are subcodes of C and C'. If the degree of $g_1(X)$ is m, then the roots of $h_1(X) = X^m g_1(X^{-1})$ are:

$$\alpha^{-(2^{h}+1)} = \alpha^{2^{m}-2^{h}-2}, \ \alpha^{-2(2^{h}+1)} = \alpha^{2^{m}-2^{h+1}-2-1}, \dots,$$

$$\alpha^{-2^{m-h-1}(2^{h}+1)} = \alpha^{2^{m-1}-2^{m-h-1}-1}, \ \alpha^{-2^{(m-h)}(2^{h}+1)} = \alpha^{2^{m}-2^{m-h}-2},$$

$$\dots, \alpha^{-2^{m-1}(2^{h}+1)} = \alpha^{2^{m-1}-2^{h-1}-1}.$$

There is no i $(0 \le i < 2^{m-1})$ with $h_1(\alpha^i) = 0$ except for $i_1 = 2^{m-1} - 2^{m-h-1} - 1$

and

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} = 2^{m-1} - 2^{h-1} - 1$$
.

By (2), $i_1 < i_1^2$.

If m' = .../2, then the roots of h (X) are:

$$\alpha^{-(2^{m^{i}}+1)} = \alpha^{2^{m}-2^{m^{i}}-2}, \quad \alpha^{-2(2^{m^{i}}+1)} = \alpha^{2^{m}-2^{m^{i}}+1}-2-1, \quad \dots$$

$$\alpha^{-2^{m^{i}-1}(2^{m^{i}}+1)} = \alpha^{2^{m-1}-2^{m^{i}}-1}-1.$$

there is no i $(0 \le i < 2^{m-1})$ with $h_1(\alpha^i) = 0$ except for

$$i_1 = 2^{m-1} - 2^{m^{r}-1} - 1.$$

The roots of $h_2(X)$ are $\alpha^{-1}=\alpha^{2^m-2}, \alpha^{-2}=\alpha^{2^m-3}, \ldots, \alpha^{-2^{m-1}}=\alpha^{2^{m-1}-1}$. As it is done above, here we let

$$i_2 = 2^{m-1}-1$$
.

Let X_1, X_2, \dots, X_w be the location numbers of code vector v(x) of C^t . Then,

$$v(\alpha^{i}) = \sum_{f=1}^{W} X_{f}^{i}$$
 , $0 \le i < 2^{m}-1$

For any $\beta_0 \in GF(2)$, any $\beta_1 \in GF(2^{m'})$ and any $\beta_2 \in GF(2^m)$, there exists a unique code vector $\mathbf{v}(\mathbf{x})$ of C' such that $\mathbf{v}(1) = \beta_0$, $\mathbf{v}(\alpha^{-1}) = \beta_1$ and $\mathbf{v}(\alpha^{-1}) = \beta_2$ (Mattson, Solomon [5]). Let $\mathbf{v}(\beta_0, \beta_1, \beta_2; \mathbf{x})$ denote the code vector specified by β_0, β_1 and β_2 . By definition,

$$x^{\ell}v(\beta_{o},\beta_{1},\beta_{2};x) = v(\beta_{o},\alpha^{\ell i}\beta_{1},\alpha^{\ell i}\beta_{2};x)$$

If and only if $\beta_0 = 0$, $v(\beta_0, \beta_1, \beta_2; x) \in C$. If and only if $\beta_0 = \beta_1 = 0$ (or $\beta_0 = \beta_2 = 0$), then $v(\beta_0, \beta_1, \beta_2; x) \in C_2$ (or C_1). The cyclic permutations on code word symbols induce a permutation group on the code vectors of C', which divides $C-C_2$ into disjoint sets of transitivity. Since $v = (i_1, 2^m-1)$, each set consists of $(2^m-1)/v$ code vectors. In case of m' = m, let $v(0,\alpha^1,\beta_2,x)$ ($0 \le i < v$, $\beta_2 \in GF(2^m)$) represent each set. In case of m' = m/2, let $v(0,1,\beta_2;x)$ represent each set.

A polynomial representation will be used for a code vector [4].

Now, consider the extended code C_{ex} of code C^{ϵ} . Let $\overline{v}(\beta_0, \beta_1, \beta_2)$ denote the vector with an overall parity check added to $v(\beta_0, \beta_1, \beta_2; x)$ as the first digit. By definition $C_{ex} = \{\overline{v}(\beta_0, \beta_1, \beta_2) | v(\beta_0, \beta_1, \beta_2; x) \in C^{\epsilon}\}$. Let X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n be the location numbers of $\overline{v}(\beta_0, \beta_1, \beta_2)$ and let

$$S_{i} = \sum_{f=1}^{w} X_{f}^{i}$$
, $0 \le i < 2^{m-1}$ (7)

then, by definition

$$S_{i_1} = \beta_1 , \qquad (8)$$

$$S_{i_2} = B_2 , \qquad (9)$$

$$S_i = 0$$
 (i $\frac{1}{4}$ $i_1 2^{l}$, $i_2 2^{l}$ (mod $2^{m}-1$), $0 \le l < m$)

Increfore,

$$S_i = 0 \ (i + i_1, i_1, i_1, 1 \le i < 2^{m-1} - 1)$$
 (10)

By Lemma 1, $-\frac{1}{16}v(\beta_0,\beta_1,\beta_2) \in C_{ex}$ for any $b \in GF(2^m)$. Let

$$\overline{\gamma}_{1b} \overline{v}(\beta_0, \beta_1, \beta_2) = \overline{v}(\beta_0^{\dagger}, \beta_1^{\dagger}, \beta_2^{\dagger}) . \tag{11}$$

The weights of $v(\beta_0, \beta_1, \beta_2)$ and $v(\beta_0^+, \beta_1^+, \beta_2^+)$ are the same. By the definition of β_0^+

$$B_1^i = \sum_{f=1}^w (X_f + b)^{i}$$

$$B_2' = \sum_{f=1}^{w} (x_f + b)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$
.

Hence

$$B_{1} = \sum_{f=1}^{w} \sum_{i=0}^{i_{1}} {i \choose i} X_{f}^{i} b_{1}^{i_{1}-i} = \sum_{i=0}^{i_{1}} {i \choose i} S_{i}^{i_{1}-i}.$$

From (8) and (10),

$$\beta_1' = \beta_1 \tag{12}$$

Note that $(X_f + b)^{\frac{1}{2}} = (X_f + b)^{2^{m-1}-1} = (X_f^{2^{m-1}} + b^{2^{m-1}})/(X_f + b) = X_f^{2^{m-1}-1} + X_f^{2^{m-1}-1}b + \dots + b^{2^{m-1}-1}$. Then,

$$\beta_{2}^{2} = \sum_{f=1}^{w} \sum_{i=0}^{2^{m-1}-1} x_{f}^{i} b^{2^{m-1}-1-i} = \sum_{i=0}^{2^{m-1}-1} s_{i} b^{2^{m-1}-1-i}$$
(13)

Consider the case of m' = m. Since $i_1' \equiv 2^h i_1 \pmod{2^m-1}$, $S_{i_1'} = S_{i_1}^{2^h}$. From (8), (9), (10) and (13),

$$\beta_{2}^{*} = \beta_{1}b^{2^{m-1}-1-i}1 + \beta_{1}^{2^{h}}b^{2^{m-1}-1-i}1 + \beta_{2}^{2^{h}}b^{2^{m-h-1}} + \beta_{1}^{2^{h}}b^{2^{h-1}} + \beta_{2}.$$
(14)

For the case of $m^t = m/2$, it follows from (8), (9), (10) and (13) that

$$\beta_2^* = \beta_1 b^{2^{h-1}} + \beta_2 . {15}$$

Hereafter we shall consider the case of m' = m except for section 5. For each i $(0 \le i < v)$, $V_i = \{\alpha^i b^{2^{m-h-1}} + \alpha^{i2^h} b^{2^{h-1}} | b \in GF(2^m) \}$ forms a subspace of $GF(2^m)$. Let

$$F_{i}(X) = \alpha^{i} X^{2^{m-h-1}} + \alpha^{i2^{h}} X^{2^{h-1}}$$
$$= \alpha^{i} X^{2^{h-1}} (X^{2^{h-1}} (2^{m-2h} - 1) + \alpha^{i(2^{h} - 1)})$$

If i=0, the order of a nonzero root in $GF(2^m)$ of $F_c(X)$ is a factor of $2^{m-2h}\cdot 1$. Since c=(m,2h)=(m,m-2h), $2^c-1=(2^m-1,2^{m-2h}-1)$. This implies

that the rocts in $GF(2^m)$ of $F_0(X)$ are in subfield $GF(2^c)$. Conversely, any element in this subfield is a roct of $F_0(X)$. Hence, the dimension of V_0 is m-c. Let $V_{00} = V_0$, $V_{01}, V_{02}, \ldots, V_{02^{c-1}}$ be the cosets of $GF(2^m)$ with respect to V_0 . Each coset has 2^{m-c} elements.

For $i \neq 0$, assume that α^{j} is a root of $F_{i}(X)$. Then,

$$2^{h+1}(2^{m-2h}-1) j = i(2^{h}-1) \pmod{2^{m}-1}$$

$$(1-2^{2h}) j = i2^{h+1}(2^{h}-1) \pmod{2^{m}-1}$$

$$-(2^{h}+1) j = i2^{h+1} \pmod{2^{m}-1}$$

Since V divides both 2^h+1 and 2^m-1 , V must divide i. However, 0 < i < V.

Therefore, there is no root in $GF(2^m)$ of $F_i(X)$ except for zero. Consequently, $V_i = GF(2^m)$.

Let
$$B_{0j} = \{(\alpha^{ki}, \alpha^{ki})^2 \} | 0 \le k < 2^{m-1}, \beta \in V_{0j} \} (0 \le j \le 2^{c-1})$$
 and

 $B_{i} = \{(\alpha^{i+\ell i}, \beta) | 0 \le \ell < 2^{m-1}, \beta \in GF(2^{m}) \} \ (0 < i < \nu).$ Then,

$$|B_{0j}| = (2^m - 1) 2^{m - c} / v (0 \le j \le 2^c) ,$$
 (16)

$$|B_{i}| = (2^{m}-1)2^{m}/v \qquad (0 < i < v)^{*}.$$
 (17)

It follows from the definition of B_{0j} or B_i that for any (B_1, B_2) and (B_1, B_2) in the same B_{0j} or B_i and for any $B_0 \in GF(2)$, there exists permutation Π_{ab} such that $\Pi_{ab} \overline{v}(B_0, B_1, B_2) = \overline{v}(B_0', B_1', B_2')$ and $B_0' \in GF(2)$. Therefore, $\overline{v}(B_0, B_1, B_2)$ and $\overline{v}(B_0', B_1', B_2')$ have the same weight w. If B_0 (or B_0') is zero,

^{* |} B | means the number of elements of B.

then $v(0,\beta_1,\beta_2;x)$ (or $v(0,\beta_1^i,\beta_2^i;x)$) has weight w. If β_0 (or β_0^i) is one, then $v(1,\beta_1,\beta_2;x)$ (or $v(1,\beta_1^i,\beta_2^i;x)$) has weight w-1 by definition. Since C^i contains all one vector $e = (1,1,\ldots,1)$ and $e(\alpha^i) = \sum_{f=0}^{2^m-2} \alpha^{if} = [(\alpha^i)^{2^m-1}-1]/(\alpha^i-1) = 0$ (0 < i < 2^m-1),

$$v(1,\beta_1,\beta_2;x) = v(0,\beta_1,\beta_2;x) + e(x)$$
.

Hence, if β_0 (or β_0^t) is one, then $v(0,\beta_1,\beta_2;x)$ (or $v(0,\beta_1^t,\beta_2^t;x)$) has weight 2^m -w. Therefore, we have Lemma 8.

Lemma 8: For each j (or i) $(0 \le j \le 2^c, 0 \le i \le v)$, there is w_{0j} (or w_i) such that for any $(\beta_1, \beta_2) \in B_{0j}$ (or B_i) the weight of $v(0, \beta_1, \beta_2; x)$ is either w_{0j} (or w_i) or $2^m - w_{0j}$ (or $2^m - w_{0j}$).

3. Case I:
$$(m,h) = (m,2h)$$

Hereafter a_w will denote the number of code vectors of weight w in C and b_w will denote the number of code vectors of weight w in C_o .

Lemma 9: For 'en w,

$$wa_{w} = (2^{m}-w)a_{w},$$

$$2^{m}-w,$$

$$wb_{w} = (2^{m}-w)b_{w}.$$

This lemma follows from a theorem due to Peterson [1] and Lemma 1. Consequently, if the values of w_{0j} 's $(0 \le j \le 2^C)$ and w_i 's $(0 \le i \le \nu)$ are known, the weight distribution of C-C₂ is completely determined. Furthermore, any nonzero vector of C₂ has weight 2^{m-1} , because C₂ is a maximum length sequence code.

Lemma 10:
$$b_1 = b_2 = 0$$
, $b_3 = (2^{c'-1}-1)(2^m-1)/3$.

Proof: Since C is a subcode of Hamming code,

$$b_1 = b_2 = 0$$
.

Assume that α^{j_1} , α^{j_2} and α^{j_3} are the location numbers of a code vector of weight 3 in C_o . Then,

$$\alpha^{j_1} + \alpha^{j_2} = \alpha^{j_3} \tag{18}$$

From (18),

$$\alpha^{j_{3}(2^{h}+1)} = (\alpha^{j_{1}+\alpha^{j_{2}}})^{2^{h}+1} = (\alpha^{j_{1}^{2^{h}}})^{2^{h}} (\alpha^{j_{1}+\alpha^{j_{2}}})$$

$$= \alpha^{j_{1}(2^{h}+1)} + \alpha^{j_{1}^{2^{h}}} \alpha^{j_{2}} + \alpha^{j_{1}^{2^{h}}} \alpha^{j_{2}^{2^{h}}} + \alpha^{j_{2}(2^{h}+1)}$$

By combining with (19),

$$a^{j_1 2^h} a^{j_2} + a^{j_1} a^{j_2 2^h} = 0,$$

$$\alpha^{(j_1-j_2)(2^{h}-1)} = 1.$$
 (20)

Thus,

$$(j_1-j_2)(2^{h}-1) \equiv 0 \pmod{2^{m}-1}$$
.

If c'' = (m,h) = 1, then $(2^{h}-1,2^{m}-1) = 1$. Therefore

$$j_1 = j_2.$$

This is a contradiction, which leads to the conclusion that $b_3 = 0$. If $c' \neq 1$, then $(2^m-1,2^h-1) = 2^c-1$. Let

$$\mu = (2^{m}-1)/(2^{c}-1)$$
 (21)

Then,

$$j_1 \equiv j_2 \pmod{\mu}$$
.

Let $j_1 = l_1 \mu + i$ and $j_2 = l_2 \mu + i$ ($0 \le i \le \mu$). Since $\alpha^{l_1 \mu} + \alpha^{l_2 \mu} = \alpha^{l_3 \mu}$ for some l_3 , it follows from (18) that $j_3 = l_3 \mu + i$. Conversely, for any i ($0 \le i \le \mu$) and for l_1 , l_2 , and l_3 such that

$$\alpha^{\ell_1}^{\mu} + \alpha^{\ell_2}^{\mu} = \alpha^{\ell_3}^{\mu} \tag{22}$$

$$0 \le \ell_1, \ell_2, \ell_3 < 2^{c'} - 1 , \qquad (23)$$

 α , α , and α satisfy (18) and (19). The number of unordered triplets (ℓ_1,ℓ_2,ℓ_3) 's satisfying (22) and (23) is equal to $(2^{c'-1})/3$. Consequently,

$$b_3 = \mu \left(\frac{2^{c'-1}}{2}\right)/3 = (2^{m-1})(2^{c'-1}-1)/3.$$
 Q.E.D.

Lemma 11: Let I_2 and I_4 denote $\sum_{j \neq 0} (j-2^{m-1})^2 a_j$ and $\sum_{j \neq 0} (j-2^{m-1})^4 a_j$ respectively. Then,

$$I_2 = 2^{2m-2}(2^m-1),$$

$$I_4 = 2^{3m+c^*-4}(2^m-1).$$

<u>Proof:</u> Note that k = 2m. By using the power moment identities of Lemma 6,

$$I_{2} = \sum_{j=0}^{2} j^{2} a_{j} - 2^{m} \sum_{j=0}^{m} j a_{j} + 2^{2m-2} \sum_{\substack{j=0 \ j\neq 0}} a_{j}$$

$$= 2^{2m-2} n(n+1) - 2^{3m-1} n + 2^{2m-2} (2^{2m}-1)$$

$$= (2^{m}-1) (2^{3m-2}-2^{3m-1}+2^{3m-2}+2^{2m-2})$$

$$= (2^{m}-1) 2^{2m-2}$$

$$I_{4} = \sum_{j} {}^{4}a_{j} - 2^{m+1} \sum_{j} {}^{3}a_{j} + 3 \cdot 2^{2m-1} \sum_{j} {}^{2}a_{j} - 2^{3m-1} \sum_{j} a_{j}$$

$$+ 2^{4m-4} \sum_{j \neq 0} a_{j}$$

$$= 2^{2m-4} (n^{4} + 6n^{3} + 3n^{2} - 2n) - 2^{3m-2} (n^{3} + 3n^{2})$$

$$+ 3 \cdot 2^{4m-3} n (n+1) - 2^{5m-2} n + 2^{4m-4} (2^{2m} - 1)$$

$$+ 3 (2^{3m-1} - 2^{2m-1} n) b_{3} + 3 \cdot 2^{2m-2} b_{4}$$

$$= n \{ 2^{2m-4} [(n+1)^{3} + 3(n+1)(n-1)] - 2^{3m-2} [(n+1)^{2} + n-1] + 3 \cdot 2^{4m-3} (n+1) - 2^{5m-2} + 2^{4m-4} (2^{m} + 1) \}$$

$$+ 3 \cdot 2^{2m-1} (b_{3} + b_{4})$$

$$= n \{ 2^{5m-4} - 2^{5m-2} + 3 \cdot 2^{5m-3} - 2^{5m-2} + 2^{5m-4} + 3 \cdot 2^{3m-4} (2^{m} - 2) - 2^{3m-2} (2^{m} - 2) + 2^{4m-4} \}$$

$$+ 3 \cdot 2^{2m-1} (b_{3} + b_{4})$$

$$= (2^{m} - 1) 2^{3m-3} + 3 \cdot 2^{2m-1} (b_{3} + b_{4})$$

$$= (2^{m} - 1) 2^{3m-3} + 3 \cdot 2^{2m-1} (b_{3} + b_{4})$$

$$= (2^{m} - 1) 2^{3m-3} + 3 \cdot 2^{2m-1} (b_{3} + b_{4})$$

$$= (2^{m} - 1) 2^{3m-3} + 3 \cdot 2^{2m-1} (b_{3} + b_{4})$$

$$= (2^{m} - 1) 2^{3m-3} + 3 \cdot 2^{2m-1} (b_{3} + b_{4})$$

Since all one vector $(1,1,\ldots,1)$ is in C_0 ,

$$b_{2^{m}-4} = b_{3}$$
.

By Lemmas 9 and 10,

$$b_3 + b_4 = b_{2^{m}-4} + b_4 = 2^{m-2}b_{2^{m}-4} = 2^{m-2}b_3$$

$$= 2^{m-2}(2^{c^{*}-1}-1)(2^{m}-1)/3.$$
(25)

By substituting the right hand side of (25) into (24),

$$I_4 = (2^m - 1) 2^{3m + c^* - 4}$$
 . Q.E.D.

Let $\boldsymbol{j}_{\boldsymbol{M}}$ be the smallest nonzero integer such that

$$a_{j_{M}} + a_{2^{m}-j_{M}} \neq 0.$$

By the definition of I_2 and I_4 ,

$$(j_{M}-2^{m-1})^{2} \ge i_{4}/i_{2} = 2^{m+c^{2}-2}$$
 (26)

Consider the case where c = c'. Then, v = 1 by Lemma 5. Since all nonzero vectors in C_2 are of weight 2^{m-1} , it follows from Lemma 8 and (16) that $a_j + a_{2^{m-j}}$ ($j \neq 0$, 2^{m-1}) must be divisible by $2^{m-c'}(2^m-1) = 2^{m-c}(2^m-1)$.

Therefore, from (26)

$$I_2 \ge (j_M^{-2^{m-1}})^2 (a_{j_M}^{+a} + a_{j_M}^{-1}) \ge 2^{2m-2} (2^{m-1})$$
 (27)

By Lemma 11 and (27),

$$I_2 = (j_M + 2^{m-1})^2 (a_{j_M} + a_{-j_M}) = 2^{2m-2} (2^m - 1).$$

Consequently,

$$(j_{M}-2^{m-1})^{2} = 2^{m+c^{+}+2} = 2^{m+c-2}$$
 (28)

$$a_{j_{M}} + a_{j_{M}} = 2^{m-c}(2^{m}-1)$$
 (29)

$$a_j = 0 \ (j \neq 0, j_M, 2^{m-1}, 2^m - j_M).$$

Hence,

$$j_{M} = 2^{m-1} - 2^{(m+c)/2-1}$$

$$a_{2^{m-1}} = 2^{2m} - 1 - (a_{j_{M}} + a_{j_{M}})$$

$$= (2^{m} - 2^{m-c} + 1) (2^{m} - 1)$$
(30)

By Lemma 9, (29) and (30),

$$a_{j_{M}} = (2^{m-c-1}+2^{(m-c)/2-1})(2^{m}-1)$$

$$a_{2^{m}-j_{M}} = (2^{m-c-1}-2^{(m-c)/2-1})(2^{m}-1)$$

Thus, we have the following theorem.

Theorem 1: If
$$(m,h) = (m,2h) = c$$
, then

$$a_0 = 1$$

$$a_{2^{m-1}-2}(m+c)/2-1 = (2^{m-c-1}+2^{(m-c)/2-1})(2^m-1)$$

$$a_{2^{m-1}} = (2^m-2^{m-c}+1)(2^m-1)$$

$$a_{2^{m-1}+2}(m+c)/2-1 = (2^{m-c-1}-2^{(m-c)/2-1})(2^m-1)$$

$$a_{j} = 0 \text{ for other j.}$$

4. Case I1: $2(m,h) = (m,2h) \neq m$

Consider the case in which 2(m,h) = (m,2h). Then, $v = 2^{c'} + 1$ by Lemma 5. Since $v(0,\beta_1,0,x)$ is in C_1 , $w_{00} = w_0,w_1,\ldots,w_{v-1}$ can be found from the weight distribution of C_1 . Each code vector of C_1 is a v concatenation of a code vector in cyclic code C_1^* of length $(2^m-1)/v$ which is generated by $(x^{(2^m-1)/v}-1)/h_1(x)$. Let $v^*(\beta;x)$ denote a code vector $v^*(x)$

in C_1^i such that $v^i(\alpha^{-1}) = \beta$. With the same argument as the one of section 3, set $C_{1i}^i = \{v(\alpha^{i+\ell \nu}; x) \mid 0 \le \ell < (2^m-1)/\nu\}$ $(0 \le i < \nu)$ consists of $(2^m-1)/\nu$ vectors of the same weight w_1^i . Since $v(0,\beta,0;x) = (v^i(\beta;x), \dots, v^i(\beta;x))$, w_i can be given by the following equation:

$$w_{i} = vw_{i}^{i} . \qquad (0 \le i < v)$$

Therefore, by applying Lemma 6 to code C_1^{\dagger} , we have

$$(2^{m}-1) / \nu \sum_{i=0}^{\nu-1} w_{i} / \nu = 2^{m-1} (2^{m}-1) / \nu ,$$

$$(2^{m}-1) / \nu \sum_{i=0}^{\nu-1} (w_{i} / \nu)^{2} = 2^{m-2} (2^{m}-1) (2^{m}-1+\nu) / \nu^{2} .$$

Thus,

$$\sum_{i=0}^{\nu-1} w_i = \nu 2^{m-1}$$
(31)

$$\sum_{i=0}^{\nu-1} w_i^2 = \nu 2^{m-2} (2^m - 1 + \nu) = \nu 2^{m-2} (2^m + 2^{c^*})$$
 (32)

Therefore,

$$\sum_{i=0}^{\nu-1} (\omega_i^{-2^{m-1}})^2 = \nu 2^{m-2} (2^m - 1 + \nu) - 2^m \nu 2^{m-1} + 2^{2m-2} \nu$$

$$= \nu 2^{m-2} (\nu - 1) = \nu 2^{m+c^*-2}$$
(33)

On the other hand, it follows from Lemma 8, (16), (17) and the definition of ${\rm I}_2$ that

$$I_{2} = I_{20} + I_{21},$$

$$I_{20} = 2^{m-c} (2^{m}-1) \sum_{j=1}^{2^{c}-1} (\omega_{0j}-2^{m-1})^{2}/\nu,$$

$$I_{21} = 2^{m-c} (2^{m}-1) (\omega_{0}-2^{m-1})^{2}/\nu + 2^{m} (2^{m}-1) \sum_{j=1}^{\nu-1} (\omega_{j}-2^{m-1})^{2}/\nu.$$
(34)

By Lemma 11 and (33),

$$I_{2} = 2^{2m-2}(2^{m}-1)$$

$$\geq I_{21} = 2^{2m+c^{*}-2}(2^{m}-1) - (2^{m}-1)(2^{m}-2^{m-c})(w_{0}-2^{m-1})^{2}/v.$$
(35)

By a simple calculation,

$$(w_0 - 2^{m-1})^2 \ge 2^{2m-2} (2^{c'} - 1) v / (2^m - 2^{m-c})$$

= 2^{m+c-2} (by (6)).

Hence,

$$w_0 = 2^{m-1} \pm (2^{(m+c)/2-1} + \delta), \quad \delta \ge 0$$
 (36)

Now, by (31) and (32)

$$I_{2}^{*} = \sum_{i=0}^{\nu-1} (\omega_{i} - (2^{m-1} + 2^{m/2-1}))^{2}$$

$$= \nu 2^{m-2} (2^{m} - 1 + \nu) - 2(2^{m-1} + 2^{m/2-1}) \nu 2^{m-1} + (2^{m-1} + 2^{m/2-1})^{2} \nu$$

$$= \nu \{2^{2m-2} + 2^{m+c^{*} - 2} - 2^{2m-1} + 2^{3m/2-1} + 2^{2m-2} + 2^{3m/2-1} + 2^{m-2}\}$$

$$= 2^{m-2} (2^{c^{*}} + 1)^{2}$$
(37)

On the other hand,

$$I_{2}^{1} \ge (w_{0}^{-(2^{m-1}+2^{m/2-1})})^{2} = [2^{m-1}+(2^{(m+c)/2-1}+\delta)-2^{m-1}+2^{m/2-1}]^{2}$$
$$= 2^{m-2}(2^{c^{*}}+1+\delta 2^{1-m/2})^{2}$$
(38)

From (35), (36), and (37), we have that

$$b = 0$$

$$w_0 = 2^{m-1} \pm 2^{(m+c)/2-1}$$

$$w_i = 2^{m-1} \mp 2^{m/2-1} \quad (0 < i < v)$$
(40)

Since w_i $(0 \le i < v)$ is divisible by v, the \pm sign is determined by Lemma 4. Thus, we have:

Theorem 2: Let a_{jv}^{t} denote the number of code vectors of weight j in C_{1}^{t} . If m/c is odd (or even), then

$$a_0^* = 1$$

$$a_2^*_{m-1}_{-2}(m+c)/2-1 \quad (\text{or } a_2^*_{m-1}_{+2}(m+c)/2-1) = (2^{m-1})/(2^{c/2}+1)$$

$$a_2^*_{m-1}_{+2}(m+c)/2-1 \quad (\text{or } a_2^*_{m-1}_{-2}(m+c)/2-1) = 2^{c/2}(2^{m-1})/(2^{c/2}+1).$$

$$a_1^* = 0 \quad \text{for other j.}$$

From (35) and (39) it follows that

$$I_{21} = 2^{2m+c^{*}-2}(2^{m}-1) - (2^{m}-1)(2^{m}-2^{m-c})2^{m+c-2}/\nu$$

$$= 2^{2m-2}(2^{m}-1)(2^{c^{*}}-(2^{c}-1)/\nu)$$

$$= 2^{2m-2}(2^{m}-1)$$

$$= I_{2} \qquad \text{(by (35))}.$$

By (34),

$$I_{20} = (2^{m}-1)2^{m-c}/v \sum_{j=1}^{2^{c}-1} (w_{0j}-2^{m-1})^{2} = 0$$

Hence,

$$u_{0j} = 2^{m-1} \qquad (1 \le j \le 2^{c}).$$
 (41)

By (16), (17), Lemma 8, (39), (40) and (41), we have:

$$a_{2^{m-1}-2^{m/2-1}} + a_{2^{m-1}+2^{m/2-1}} = (2^{m}-1)2^{m+c/2}/(2^{c/2}+1)$$

$$a_{2^{m-1}} = (2^{m}-1)2^{m-c}(2^{c}-1)/(2^{c/2}+1) + 2^{m}-1$$

$$a_{2^{m-1}-2^{(m+c)/2-1}} + a_{2^{m-1}+2^{(m+c)/2-1}} = (2^{m}-1)2^{m-c}/(2^{c/2}+1)$$

Thus, the next theorem follows from Lemma 9.

Theorem 3: If
$$2(m,h) = (m,2h) = c$$
 and $c \neq m$, then

$$a_0 = 1$$

$$a_{2^{m-1}-2}(m+c)/2-1 = (2^m-1)(2^{(m-c)/2}+1)2^{(m-c)/2-1}/(2^{c/2}+1)$$

$$a_{2^{m-1}-2}(m+c)/2-1 = (2^m-1)(2^{m/2}+1)2^{(m+c)/2-1}/(2^{c/2}+1)$$

$$a_{2^{m-1}-2}(m+c)/2-1 = (2^m-1)(2^{m/2}-1)2^{(m+c)/2-1}/(2^{c/2}+1)$$

$$a_{2^{m-1}+2}(m+c)/2-1 = (2^m-1)(2^{(m-c)/2}-1)2^{(m-c)/2-1}/(2^{c/2}+1)$$

$$a_{1} = 0 \quad \text{for other j.}$$

5. Case III: 2(m,h) = (m,2h) = m

Consider the case of m = 2h. For any $B_1 \neq 0$, B_2 in $GF(2^m)$, there exists $b \in GF(2^m)$ such that

$$\beta_1 b^{2^{h-1}} + \beta_2 = 0$$
,

because $(2^{h-1}, 2^m-1) = 1$. From (15) and a similar argument to the one for the case of $m = m^t$, it follows that there exists w such that the weight of any code vector in C-C₂ is either w or 2^m-w . Since C₁, the cyclic code of length $2^{m/2}-1$ generated by $(x^{2^{m/2}-1}-1)/g_1(x)$, is a maximum length sequence code, C₁ consists of one zero vector and $2^{m/2}-1$ vectors of weight $2^{m/2}-1$ ($2^{m/2}+1$). Therefore,

$$w = (2^{m/2}+1) 2^{m/2-1}$$
.

On the other hand, C_2 is a maximum length sequence code of length 2^m-1 . Hence,

$$a_{2^{m-1}} = 2^{m-1}$$
.

According to Lemma 9, we have:

Theorem 4: If m = 2h, then

$$a_0 = 1$$

$$a_{2^{m-1}-2^{m/2-1}} = (2^{m/2}-1)(2^{m-1}+2^{m/2-1})$$

$$a_{2^{m-1}} = 2^{m-1}$$

$$a_{2^{m-1}+2^{m/2-1}} = (2^{m/2}-1)(2^{m-1}-2^{m/2-1})$$

$$a_{j} = 0 for other j.$$

6. Crosscorrelation Functions of Two Maximum Length Sequences

It follows from Lemma 5 that α^{2^h+1} is a primitive element if and only if c=c'. Assume that c=c'. Let

$$v(0,1,0;x) = \sum_{f=0}^{2^{m}-2} v_{1f}x^{f}$$

$$v(0,0,1;x) = \sum_{f=0}^{2^{m}-2} v_{2f}x^{f}.$$

Then, $v_1 = v_{10}, v_{11}, \dots, v_{12^m-2}$ and $v_2 = v_{20}, v_{21}, \dots, v_{22^m-2}$ are maximum length sequences of length 2^m-1 . In v_1 and v_2 , replace 0 by -1. Let $u_1 = u_{10}, u_{11}, \dots, u_{12^m-2}$ and $u_2 = u_{20}, u_{21}, \dots, u_{22^m-2}$ be the resulting

sequences of real numbers 1 and -1. Correlation function $\theta(j)$ of \textbf{u}_1 and \textbf{u}_2 is defined by

$$\theta(j) = \sum_{f=0}^{2^{m}-2} u_{1f}^{u} 2f - j$$
,

where suffix f - j is to be taken mod 2^m-1 . Note that

$$v(0,1,0;x) + x^{j}v(0,0,1;x) = v(0,1,\alpha^{ji}2;x).$$

If $v(0,1,\alpha^{ji}2;x)$ has weight w, then

$$\theta(j) = 2^{m} - 1 - 2w . (42)$$

Let s_i denote the number of j's $(0 \le j < 2^m-1)$ with $\theta(j) = i$. Then, s_i is the number of vectors $v(0,1,\beta;x)$ with weight $(2^m-1-i)/2$. From sections 2 and 3, we have theorem 5.

Theorem 5:

$$s_{-2}^{(m+c)/2} = 2^{m-c-1} - 2^{(m-c)/2-1},$$

$$s_{-1} = 2^{m} - 2^{m-c},$$

$$s_{2}^{(m+c)/2} = 2^{m-c-1} + 2^{(m-c)/2-1},$$

$$s_{i} = 0 \quad \text{for other i.}$$

For $0 \le j \le 2^m-1$, let

$$\theta_{j} = 1 \text{ if } \theta(j) = -2^{(m+c)/2} - 1 \text{ or } 2^{(m+c)/2} - 1,$$
 $\theta_{j} = 0 \text{ if } \theta(j) = -1.$

Sequence $\theta = \theta_0, \theta_1, \dots, \theta_{2^m-2}$ will be called the correlation sequence of u_1 and u_2 . We shall characterize the correlation sequence below. Recall that

$$v_0 = v_{00} = \{b^{2^{m-h-1}} + b^{2^{h-1}} | beGF(2^m)\}$$

Since $(2^{h-1}, 2^{m}-1) = 1$,

$$V_{00} = \{b^{2^{m-2h}} + b | b \in GF(2^{m})\}$$

Since (m-2h,m) = c, the Galois group of $GF(2^m)$ over $GF(2^c)$ is generated by the automorphism $X \to X^{2^{m-2h}}$ (by Theorem 9, p. 127 of [6]). Therefore, from the trace theorem (p. 121 of [6]) it follows that

$$V_{00} = \{b | \sigma(b) = 0, b \in GF(2^m)\},\$$

where $\sigma(b)$ denotes the trace of b in $GF(2^m)$ over $GF(2^c)$, and

$$\sigma(b) = b + b^{2^{c}} + b^{2^{2c}} + \dots + b^{2^{m-c}}$$

Hence, any element of each coset V_{0j} has the same trace $t_j \in GF(2^c)$, and if $j \neq j'$, $t_j \neq t_j$.

Note that $v^2(0,\beta_1,\beta_2;x) = v(0,\beta_1,\beta_2;x^2) = v(0,\beta_1^2,\beta_2^2;x)$ eC and that $v(0,\beta_1,\beta_2;x)$ and $v(0,\beta_1,\beta_2;x^2)$ have the same weight. Consequently, if t_j , $= t_j^2$, then w_{0j} , $= w_{0j}$. From the proof of Theorem 1 it follows that there is only one j_0 such that

$$w_{0j_0} = 2^{m-1} - 2^{(m+c)/2-1}$$

$$w_{0j} = 2^{m-1}, j \neq j_0$$

Since $t_{j_0} = t_{j_0}^2$, $t_{j_0} = 0$ or 1. Since C_1 is a maximum length sequence code, w_{00} must be 2^{m-1} . Therefore, $t_{j_0} = 1$. This implies that the weight of $v(0,1,\beta;x)$ is not equal to 2^{m-1} if and only if $\beta + \beta^2 + \beta^2 + \cdots + \beta^2 + \beta^2 + \beta^2 + \cdots + \beta^2 + \beta^2 + \beta^2 + \cdots + \beta^2 + \beta^2 + \beta^2 + \beta^2 + \cdots + \beta^2 + \beta^2 + \beta^2 + \beta^2 + \cdots + \beta^2 + \beta^2 + \beta^2 + \beta^2 + \cdots + \beta^2 +$

Theorem 6: $\theta_j = 1$ if and only if $\alpha^{-j} + \alpha^{-j2}^c + \alpha^{-j2}^{2c} + \dots + \alpha^{-j2}^{m-c}$ = 1.

Now consider the case of c = 1. By definition, $v(0,0,1;\alpha^{\frac{1}{2}}) = 1$. Therefore,

$$v(0,0,1;\alpha^{-2}) = 1 (0 \le l \le m)$$
.

On the other hand, for any $\alpha^{j} \neq \alpha^{-2^{\ell}}$ (0 $\leq \ell < m$),

$$v(0,0,1;\alpha^{j}) = 0$$
.

By the formula due to Reed and Solomon [7],

$$v_{2f} = \sum_{j=0}^{2^{m}-2} v(0,0,1;\alpha^{j})\alpha^{-jf}$$
$$= \alpha^{f} + \alpha^{2f} + \alpha^{2^{f}} + \dots + \alpha^{2^{m-1}f}$$

Hence, by Theorem 6

$$\theta_{j} = v_{22^{m}-1-j}$$
.

This implies the following corollary.

Corollary 7: If (m,h) = (m,2h) = 1, then the correlation sequence of the maximum length sequence generated by $h_1(X)$ and the one generated by $h_2(X)$ is the maximum length sequence generated by $g_2(X) = X^m h_2(X^{-1})$.

R. Gold and E. Kopitzka [8] observed that for some pairs of maximum length sequences the correlation sequences are also maximum length sequences and they listed all such pairs of sequences of length 8191 or less. Among 28 listed pairs, 25 cases are covered by Corollary 7.

Acknowledgment

The author is grateful to Professors W. W. Peterson, R. T. Chien and J. S. Lin for many valuable suggestions and to Professors M. E. Van Valkenburg and H. Ozaki for their support.

References

- 1. Peterson, W. W., "On the Weight Structure and Symmetry of BCH Codes," Report of University of Hawaii, Contract No. AF19(628)-4379, No. 1; (July, 1965).
- 2. Kasami, T., "Some Invariant Properties of BCH Codes and RM Codes," Seminar Note of CSL, University of Illinois, (March, 1966). A full paper is being prepared jointly with W. W. Peterson and S. J. Lin.
- 3. Pless, V., "Power Moment Identities on Weight Distributions in Error Correcting Codes," <u>Information and Control</u>, 6, 147-152 (1963).
- 4. Peterson, W. W., Error Correcting Codes, Wiley, New York (1961).
- 5. Mattson, H. F. and Solomon, G., "A New Treatment of Bose-Chaudhuri Codes," J. Soc. Indust. Appl. Math., 9, No. 4, (December, 1961).
- 6. Reed, I. S. and Solomon, G., "Decoding Procedure for a Polynomial Code," Group Report 47,24, Lincoln Laboratory, (1959).
- 7. Albert, A. A., <u>Fundamental Concepts of Higher Algebra</u>, The University of Chicago Press, (1956).
- 8. Gold, R. and Kopitzka, E., "Study of Correlation Properties of Binary Sequences Vol. 1 \sim 5," Reports of Magnovon Research Laboratories, (August, 1965).

Security Classification DOCUMENT CONTROL DATA R&D (Security classification of title; body of abstract and indexing annotation must be entered REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION 1. ORIGINATING ACTIVITY (Corporate author)
University of Illinois Unclassified Coordinated Science Laboratory 25. GROUP Urbana, Illinois 61801 3 REPORT TITLE WEIGHT DISTRIBUTION FORMULA FOR SOME CLASS OF CYCLIC CODES 4. DESCRIPTIVE NOTES (Type of report and inclusive dates) 5. AUTHOR(S) (Last name, first name, initial) Kasami, Tadao April, 1966 24 Ba. CONTRACT OR GRANT NO. DA 28 043 AMC 00073(E) b. PROJECT NO. 20014501B31F R-285 Also National Science Foundation Grant NSF GK-690 10. AVAILABILITY/LIMITATION NOTICES Distribution of this report is unlimited. 11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 12. SPONSORING MILITARY ACTIVITY Joint Services Electronics Program thru U. S. Army Electronics Command Ft. Monmouth, New Jersey 07703 13 ABSTRACT Let $h_1(X)$ and $h_2(X)$ be different irreducible polynomials such that $h_1(\alpha^{-2^{n-1}}) = 0$ for some h(0 < h < m) and $h_2(\alpha^{-1}) = 0$, α being a primitive element of $GF(2^{III})$ This paper presents the weight distribution formula of the code of length 2^m-1 generated by $(X^{2^m-1}-1)/(h_1(X)h_2(X))$ for any m and h. Some applications to the cross-correlation problem between two different maximum length sequences are presented.

DD FORM 1473

Security Classification

KEY WORDS		LINK A		LINK B		LINK C	
		HOLE	WT	SOLE	wt	HOLE	wi
weight distribution cyclic codes cross-correlation maximum length sequences							
, and them tender bedreitees		- W	er er sitter i i til		,		
			-				
						,	
*							
						Į	

INSTRUCTIONS

parcip Pack Production of CVI (V). The companies of the companies of the CVI (V) is a second of the companies of the CVI (V) is a second of the companies of th

(2) MIPORA OF CRIST CLASSIDATE 15 to 15 to 15 to 15 to 15 to 16 author -State of the state costt .

(i) Adaptive the engine of the control of the local Date of the control of the

... Proc. There are the conservations of the input in the

i. w IPsize Subjects equal particle tentre expension of the control progress of the second, or the de-control of the second particle repeated experience.

(i) However fator the masses of a secret these down one is some expect, here: Lest view, the covere, widdle initial. a rank and because of service. The name of

.. WIF: Fotor the date of the report of day, worth, 2.4 conft, year, triors coarses date appears on the constraint publication.

1 3 3 MARKER OF PACKS: The fold page count should felor as parameter procedures, i.e., enter the number of theory procedures.

*. MIMBER OF WEITER MOTS: I steel the total number of retersee $\chi \approx d$ in the report $\zeta_{\rm col}$

, compare or CMATE SIMBLES to appropriate, enter the graand the best of the contrast of grant under which the a for the compare to ta-

- *: skoller Similar early to appropriate extracy errors of Constantion, with a project number, subproje tor, it is a mediane, they median, etc.

. Oth Pactor's SEPORT NUMBEROSIT Force the effectal report sures the deciment will be identified and conshalled by the originating activity. This number must be angle of the report.

on, oping member MMSER(5): If the opport has been assigned i. ther report mesours feither by the originator or by the a, also ester this mumber(),

6, ASABAMES CMIAID SEARCH I SEE COME NO. A field of the control of the arm of the control of a sequence of the control of continues of the

- The first of the second of the
- English that are a consecutive or the first stronger country of the order
- Capacity, Marabase are entered.

 43) 70. Laborate de laborate dans de laborate table in a first think to be present the first from
- Fig. 1915. The particular of the control of the
- is a William transfer of all one passes of a contract of the passes of

I be expect as more topa, the confidence of the

of, STPPLEDGIANT NOTES: On the audition of explanates

32. SPONSORING MICHARY ACTIVITY: Enter the main of the dispartiscoral project office of Taboratory, non-format Ap. 123 tor) to research and development, Include address,

13. ABSTRACT: Material abstract civins a original cards. moments of the document indicative of the report, Fig. though it may also appear elsewhere in the body of the technical report. If additional spaces is required, a constant timuation sheet shall be attached,

Those highly destrable that the abstract of consisting reports be unclassified. Each paragraph of the abstract shall one with an undication of the military borneys, atastituation of the internation is the personant, reserved as (18), 48), (1), or (1),

There is no limitation in the length of the abstract, However, the sugmested length Follows 150 to 175 wast-

is, KEY WORDS: Key words ago technically exampled to the or short parases that characterize a report and cay be soved as index entries for catalogies the report. For our court be selected so that to security its ititation is required. Identifier, such as correposite and designation, from name, military project and name, thoughpin considered as the unrate but total be nothing to be a followed by the followed by of recorded context. The postanced of tieke, roles, and weights is upliand.